

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,404	08/22/2003	Robert Russell	Knape & Vogt P86US	9505
7590 03/23/2005 VARNUM, RIDDERING, SCHMIDT & HOWLETT LLP			EXAMINER	
			LEV, BRUCE ALLEN	
	P.O. BOX 352 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{L}_{\cdot}/$						
1	7	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ø		10/646,404	RUSSELL ET AL.			
\	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bruce A. Lev	3634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
			PRIMARY EXAMINER			
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s)  Dee of References Cited (PTO-892)  Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Der No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,404

Art Unit: 3634

رنو

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As concerns claims 1, 13, and 29, the phrase "Lazy Susan-like" is vague and indefinite.

As concerns claims 1, 4, 9, 11, and 29, it is not clear as to whether the "system" is being claimed alone or if the "system" is being claimed in *combination* with the "article". The preamble sets forth just the "system", however, in claim 1, line 3, the "system" is set forth as being "removably coupled to said article", and line 4, "and...above the shelf"; in claim 4, "adjacent the support"; claim 9, "along said shelf"; claim 11, "engage lips located along the perimeter of said shelf";

As concerns claims 14 and 29, the "means" phrases are not properly defined, i.e., "lid means" should be just "a lid"; and "spout means" should be just "a spout".

As concerns claim 22, the phrase "said flap seal" lacks antecedent basis and is therefore vague and indefinite.

As concerns claim 24, the phrase "said spout hinge" lacks antecedent basis and is therefore vague and indefinite.

As concerns claims 26, 28, and 29, the phrase "said storage container" lacks antecedent basis and is therefore vague and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,404

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haumann et al 3,163,994.

Haumann et al set forth a system comprising segregating means as fins projecting radially, mounted in place, and including web portions; a plurality of sector shaped container 105 and 116 fitting between the segregating means and having means for storage, handle means, lid means, and spout means. The applicant should note that since several of the limitations are in "means" form, equivalent structures by the reference set forth above that perform the same function can be considered as equivalent to structure disclosed by the applicant. Also, limitations implying that members are formed as two separate members connected together (as one) are not considered as having patentable weight within an apparatus claim.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce A. Lev whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 5:30 AM - 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 3634

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 17, 2005

Bruce A. Lev

Primary Examiner

**Group 3634**